

Wylie A. Aitken (CA SB 37770)  
[wylie@aitkenlaw.com](mailto:wylie@aitkenlaw.com)  
Darren O. Aitken (CA SB 145251)  
[darren@aitkenlaw.com](mailto:darren@aitkenlaw.com)  
**AITKEN ♦ AITKEN ♦ COHN**  
3 MacArthur Place, Suite 800  
Santa Ana, CA 92707  
Ph: (866) 434-1424  
Fax: (714) 434-3600

Dawn M. Barrios (LA BAR NO. 2821)  
[barrios@bkc-law.com](mailto:barrios@bkc-law.com)  
Zachary L. Wool (LA BAR NO. 32778)  
[zwool@bkc-law.com](mailto:zwool@bkc-law.com)  
**BARRIOS, KINGS DORF & CASTEIX,**  
**L.L.P.**  
701 Poydras Street, Suite 3650  
New Orleans, LA 70139  
Ph: (504) 524-3300  
Fax: (504) 524-3313

Gretchen M. Nelson (CA SBN 112566)  
[gnelson@kreindler.com](mailto:gnelson@kreindler.com)  
**KREINDLER & KREINDLER LLP**  
707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 4100  
Los Angeles, CA 90017  
Ph: (213) 622-6469  
Fax: (213) 622-6019

***Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel***

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

IN RE: TOYOTA MOTOR CORP.  
UNINTENDED ACCELERATION  
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES,  
AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL  
CASES

Vincent Galvin, Jr. (CA SB 104448)  
[vincent.galvin@bowmanandbrooke.com](mailto:vincent.galvin@bowmanandbrooke.com)  
Anne O. Hanna (CA SB 120947)  
[anne.hanna@bowmanandbrooke.com](mailto:anne.hanna@bowmanandbrooke.com)  
**BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP**  
1741 Technology Drive, Suite 200  
San Jose, CA 95110  
Ph: (408) 961-4501  
Fax: (408) 279-5845

Lisa Gilford (CA SB 171641)  
[lisa.gilford@alston.com](mailto:lisa.gilford@alston.com)  
**ALSTON & BIRD LLP**  
333 South Hope Street, 16th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Ph: (213) 576-1114  
Fax: (213) 576-1100

***Defendants' Liaison Counsel***

Case No.: 8:10-ML02151 JVS (FMOx)

**JOINT REPORT NO. 3 OF  
PLAINTIFFS' AND DEFENDANTS'  
LIAISON COUNSEL TO STATE AND  
OTHER TYPES OF CASES**

**Date: September 20, 2010  
Time: 3:00 p.m.  
Place: Courtroom 10C**

1 Plaintiffs' and Defendants' Liaison Counsel to State and Other Types of Federal  
2 Cases (hereafter "Liaison Committees") submit this Joint Report No. 3. The Liaison  
3 Committees have worked in consultation with and cooperatively with the Co-Lead  
4 Counsel for the Economic Loss cases and the Personal Injury/Wrongful Death cases in  
5 preparing this report and will continue to do so during the pendency of this MDL.  
6

7 **I. COLLECTION OF DATA ON STATE AND OTHER TYPES OF FEDERAL**  
8 **CASES THAT INVOLVE UA CLAIMS**

9 The Liaison Committees continue to accumulate a master list of state court cases  
10 and other federal cases that involve claims of unintended acceleration ("UA"). These  
11 cases are catalogued on an Excel database chart and are being provided to the Court. The  
12 database contains the case name, case number, state and court in which it is pending, the  
13 presiding jurist, the presiding jurist's contact information, the type of case,<sup>1</sup> the plaintiffs'  
14 names, the defendants' names, and the status of each case.<sup>2</sup> In order to obtain complete  
15 and accurate information regarding the identities and contact information of the jurists  
16 handling state UA cases, Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel routinely contacts the clerks' offices  
17 of the various state courts to gather this information where it has not been available from  
18 an online source or from the questionnaire responses. An updated electronic copy of the  
19 database will be provided to the Court at the upcoming Status Conference on September  
20 20, 2010.

21 In response to Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel's electronic newsletter discussed in  
22 Liaison Counsel's Joint Report No.1, many non MDL counsel completed the litigation  
23

24 <sup>1</sup> The cases will be identified by the following descriptions: Economic Loss Class  
25 Action; Personal Injury/Wrongful Death; Lemon Law Individual Claim; Lemon Law  
Class Action; Securities Class Action; Shareholder Derivative; and Small Claims.

26 <sup>2</sup> The small claims court cases are currently included in the database. In certain  
27 jurisdictions discovery is not permitted in small claims cases and there will likely be  
28 limited benefit to coordinating issues as regards the proceedings in this Court. However,  
this may not be true in all jurisdictions and for those reasons we will continue to provide  
information on the cases that are identified.

1 questionnaire as requested. Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel incorporates the information  
2 provided into the database that is maintained by the Liaison Committees. Plaintiffs'  
3 Liaison Counsel will send a reminder email to counsel who have not yet completed the  
4 questionnaire and will ensure that all new non MDL counsel added to the database will be  
5 updated with prior emails and newsletters.

6 In addition, the Liaison Committees intend to network with the Core Discovery  
7 Committee and Lead Counsel of the MDL, as well as leading Plaintiffs' attorneys  
8 nationally, to maintain timely and accurate data for the Court through any appropriate  
9 method.

## 10 **II. STATUS OF STATE COURT COORDINATION PROCEEDINGS**

11 As previously reported the Liaison Committees are currently aware of efforts to  
12 coordinate UA related matters in three states: California, New York and Texas. Both  
13 California and Texas granted petitions for coordination, and the coordination proceeding  
14 in New York is currently pending. New developments in each of these state court  
15 proceedings are discussed below.

### 16 **A. California**

17 The California Coordinated proceedings have been assigned to Judge Anthony J.  
18 Mohr of the Los Angeles Superior Court. It is our understanding that Judge Mohr has  
19 expressed a willingness to closely coordinate the California actions with this MDL, has  
20 conferred with this Court regarding coordination issues, has adopted several of this  
21 Court's prior orders, and has an initial status conference on the California coordinated  
22 proceedings scheduled on September 20, 2010, at this Court's courtroom in the Ronald  
23 Reagan Courthouse.

**B. Texas**

On June 25, 2010, the Texas Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation consolidated the fifteen (15) actions filed in Texas state court before Judge Robert Schaffer of the 152nd District Court of Harris County. There are currently approximately nineteen (19) cases pending there.

On September 3, 2010 Judge Schaffer had a status conference to discuss preliminary issues associated with the Texas state wide MDL, such as a discovery plan and a Plaintiffs Steering Committee. Pursuant to the Court's suggestion, plaintiff's counsel met and agreed that Jeff Wigington and Robert Hilliard act as Co-Liaison Counsel. The plaintiffs will present an agreed order listing the members of the PSC.

Judge Schaffer ordered the plaintiffs to meet with Toyota to discuss discovery issues prior to the next status conference which is set for October 15, 2010. Texas Co-Liaison Counsel advises that it is Texas' MDL's desire to avoid duplicative discovery, a goal of this MDL. Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel have indicated that they intend to appear at the major hearings were feasible, particularly at the initial stages to implement the MDL's goals.

**C. New York**

On June 12, 2010, the Honorable Justice Helen E. Freeman set a briefing schedule on the consolidation motion concerning 16 cases and also denied a motion to stay pending potential consolidation. Judge Freeman is on the appellate panel which will determine whether a coordination proceeding should go forward, and if so, who the jurist will be. The last deadline in the scheduling order passed July 9, 2010. All submissions have been received and are under review, and the Litigation Coordinating Panel hopes to rule on the question of consolidation shortly.

Plaintiffs Liaison Counsel has provided copies of relevant MDL Orders to the Clerk of the Litigation Coordinating Panel for the benefit of the Justices on the Panel and the jurist they select to oversee the NY coordinated proceeding, if any.

1 **III. STATUS OF OTHER FEDERAL NON-MDL ACTIONS**

2 On June 6, 2010, U.S. District Judge Dale S. Fischer granted a motion to  
3 consolidate the federal securities actions concerning allegations of unintended acceleration  
4 in the Central District of California under the docket number CV 10-922 DSF (AJWx)  
5 (the "Securities Actions"). On August 2, 2010 the court appointed Maryland State  
6 Retirement and Pension System as Lead Plaintiff to re present the purported plaintiff  
7 class, renamed the Securities Action "In re Toyota Motor Corporation securities  
8 Litigation", and set an October 4, 2010 deadline for Lead Plaintiff to file a consolidated  
9 amended complaint. The Court has also appointed Lead and Liaison Counsel, with whom  
10 Mr. Seltzer and Mr. Robinson have conferred about potential discovery coordination  
11 issues. Defendants' deadline to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the consolidated  
12 amended complaint is December 6, 2010. On August 30, 2010 Judge Fischer denied a  
13 motion by Lead Plaintiff to lift the discovery stay imposed by the Private Securities  
14 Litigation Reform Act, so there can be no coordination of discovery at this time.

15 The Liaison Committees will continue to monitor any federal non-MDL actions and  
16 report any relevant information to the Court, and endeavor to coordinate any areas of  
17 overlapping discovery, if any, as those litigations proceed.

18 Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel and Plaintiffs' Liaison Committee have also made  
19 contact with Joel Rochon, who Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel believes is acting as lead  
20 counsel, at least informally, with respect to the UA class action litigation filed in Ontario,  
21 Canada, and related Canadian litigation. To inform Mr. Rochon of the status of this MDL,  
22 Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel sent the Case Management Orders issued by this Court to  
23 date. Mr. Rochon reports the following information (information that Toyota has not yet  
24 confirmed, and reserves the right to supplement):

25 1) Approximately a dozen proposed class actions have been filed in Canada relating  
26 to allegations of sudden unintended acceleration in Toyota vehicles. The majority of these  
27  
28

1 name Toyota Canada Inc., Toyota Motor Corporation and Toyota Motor North America  
2 Inc., as well as other defendants.

3  
4 2.) In these class proceedings, the class members are those who purchased, leased,  
5 used, or were passengers, as well as those who have a legal right to a related subrogated  
6 claim, and include claims for personal injury and economic loss.

7  
8 3.) Formal court proceedings against Toyota have been scarce. However, in Quebec  
9 Toyota is attempting to intervene in a Pontiac Vibe case which named only General  
10 Motors defendants.

11  
12 4.) In all likelihood, there will be a consolidation of the proceedings into a single  
13 national putative class proceeding (either by Court order or consent) with the merits being  
14 stayed pending the outcome of certification proceedings in the main national action.  
15 Although no pleadings have been filed in this regard, it is anticipated that papers will be  
16 filed this year.

17 **IV. NOTIFICATION OF MDL ACTIVITIES AND ORDERS TO**  
18 **STATE COURT JURISTS AND NON-MDL FEDERAL JURISTS**

19 The Court has indicated that it will send formal notification to each jurist presiding  
20 over UA related Toyota cases outside of the MDL to advise of the existence of the MDL,  
21 the Liaison Committees and all necessary contact information.

22 The Liaison Committees believe that communication with the jurists and counsel  
23 in non- MDL cases at this juncture would maximize efficiency and coordination between  
24 the MDL and other jurisdictions in light of the Order For Preservation of Documents And  
25 Tangible Things, the Stipulated Protective Order, (Order No. 5 and Order No. 5 as  
26 amended), and Order No. 7, Coordination of State And Federal Discovery Regarding  
27 Phase I F.R.CIV.P. 30(b)(6) Depositions. The Liaison Committees envision that the  
28 communications would provide the Court's website, as well as copies of all important



1 Orders. To assist the Court with this task the Liaison Committees will submit to the  
2 Court a spreadsheet with the jurists' contact information in a format conducive to large  
3 mailing and stand willing to assist the Court in any way it deems useful or necessary to  
4 undertake such a project.

5 Additionally, the non-MDL attorneys, jurists and other interested parties can obtain,  
6 through this Court's website and/or through communications from the Liaison  
7 Committees, information on the MDL proceedings and copies of the Court's orders and  
8 hearing transcripts that may be relevant to UA related cases that are not in the MDL.

9 The Liaison Committees will endeavor, to the extent possible, to coordinate as to  
10 the substance of any written communication with the non-MDL courts regarding  
11 coordination efforts with the MDL.

## 12 **V. COMMUNICATIONS WITH NON-MDL COUNSEL**

13 Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel tracks individual non-MDL plaintiff attorney contact  
14 information and uses it to disseminate information, such as the Newsletter and  
15 Questionnaire annexed to Joint Report 1. See Section I. Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel have  
16 and will continue to electronically inform plaintiffs' counsel in any non-MDL UA actions  
17 whenever the Court issues important orders in the MDL that may be relevant to related  
18 actions, such as Order Nos. 5, 5 (as amended) and 7. Defendants' Liaison Counsel will  
19 forward such information to the various firms that are representing Toyota and its  
20 affiliated entities in any UA action.

## 21 **VI. COORDINATING DISCOVERY**

22 Under the mandate of Order No. 7 the Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel has timely  
23 electronically forwarded every Notice of Deposition received from Co-Lead Counsel. To  
24 date depositions noticed for September 9, 10, and 17, 2010 have been sent to non-MDL  
25 plaintiffs' counsel. In order to foster cooperation and coordination, and subject to the  
26 Court's approval, undersigned counsel will consult with Co-Lead Counsel regarding the  
27 formulation of a Coordinated Discovery Order which would provide rules for obtaining  
28

1 access to the discovery produced by Toyota in the MDL, as well as for obtaining copies of  
2 MDL depositions. A clear protocol should be established in conjunction with the Core  
3 Discovery Committee regarding the coordination of discovery -- that is, how the Sharing  
4 Attorneys may be given a meaningful opportunity to participate in MDL discovery-- and  
5 liaison with any non-UA action to promote the overall goal of judicial efficiency and  
6 economy.

7 Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel, as stated by the Court at the August 24, 2010  
8 conference, anticipate participating in meaningful dialogue with the non-MDL plaintiffs'  
9 counsel and the Co-Leads and Core Discovery Committee in the MDL to establish a  
10 deposition protocol for Phase II to give non-MDL counsel meaningful participation in the  
11 Phase II depositions. This Court has recognized the importance of involvement of non-  
12 MDL counsel in Phase I discovery on the record and in its Orders,

13 Additionally, the Plaintiffs' Liaison Committee has provided in writing to Toyota  
14 the names of 73 Sharing Attorneys that have signed Exhibit A to the Stipulated Protective  
15 Order. This notice triggers the 20 day period for objection, if any, and resolution of same,  
16 to Sharing Attorneys receiving confidential and highly confidential material. To date,  
17 Toyota has not objected to any attorney.

## 18 **VII. CONCLUSION**

19 All of the above steps will promote the important goals of mutual trust and  
20 cooperation, as well as illustrate efficiency, so that the concerns of non-MDL litigants,  
21 counsel and judges regarding the effect of this MDL on pending litigation can be  
22 minimized.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///



1 Dated: September 13, 2010

2 Respectfully submitted,

3 WYLIE A. AITKEN  
4 DARREN AITKEN  
5 **AITKEN ♦ AITKEN ♦ COHN**

6 DAWN M. BARRIOS  
7 ZACHARY L. WOOL  
8 **BARRIOS KINGS DORF & CASTEIX,**  
9 **L.L.P.**

10 GRETCHEN M. NELSON  
11 **KREINDLER & KREINDLER LLP**

12 By:                     //s//                      
13 Gretchen M. Nelson  
14 *Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel*

15 VINCENT GALVIN, JR.  
16 ANNE O. HANNA  
17 **BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP**

18 LISA GILFORD  
19 **ALSTON & BIRD**

20 By:                     //s//                      
21 *Defendants' Liaison Counsel*